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Abstract

Improvement in the quality of aid and development in a community requires a feedback loop within the community, known as “communal meta-cognition.” This is relevant to medical education in resource-poor countries and is illustrated with the author’s own surgical experience. The usual source of truth is unquestioned allegiance to established wisdom rather than the dynamic state that comes through the feedback loop of asking questions, most importantly of one’s self. Some elements of what this might look like in a medical education context are illustrated.

Introduction

In 2010, the Lancet charted a path into the 21st century with its commissioned report “Medical Education for the 21st Century” put together by recognized leaders in the field. As a manifesto outlining where medicine should go, it represents the top of the game. So why, for me, should it be such a frustrating read? The Lancet report’s executive summary states, “Professional education has not kept pace with these challenges, largely because of fragmented, outdated, and static curricula that produce ill-equipped graduates.” If the leadership of the medical profession continues to focus on fixing curricula and equipping graduates, then I am afraid that for another generation, especially in the countries where the need is greatest, professional education will continue to lag behind. Knowledge content, including skills in that category, like natural resources in the economic sphere, can often end up doing more harm than good because of the tendency to promote inequity, corruption, and the use of force. To the extent that medical education can be shifted away from maximizing the capacities of individual doctors and towards the promotion of a culture of learning as a community, then change begins to come from within.

One can easily argue that developing curricula and providing resources to increase the capacities of individual practitioners is a good way to exacerbate the human resources gap by facilitating the brain drain. It is hard to argue with the numbers. I know of one African country in which none of the more than 30 United Kingdom certified orthopaedic specialists trained there over a three decades span stayed in the country. Even when the more skilled doctors do stay in their own country, there is a tendency to focus care on those better able to pay for it. Indeed, the medical profession can exploit the poor who are without hope of recompense or prospect of litigation. Although punitive approaches may not lead to decreases in medical error, a culture of accountability can only help when it comes to embedding Hippocratic values and motivation. What I am proposing is a shift of the focus of intervention in medical education from the cognition of the individual practitioner to meta-cognition of the professional community. It is not enough to “teach a man to fish,” let alone “give a man a fish.” We
must, in this metaphor, help the community to
develop a sustainable fishery.

Meta-cognition

The term meta-cognition can be described as
the awareness or understanding of one’s own
thinking processes. If this seems too abstract, it
might help to outline one way in which this
principle could work in practice. The frustration I
felt after reading the Lancet article arises in large
part because I have spent most of my career in
war-torn countries: Afghanistan, Angola, and
Yemen. Cultural difference has played a large part
in my own experience and Hofstede’s analysis in
this area has resonated the most strongly. Of the
cultural dimensions that he examines, “power-
distance” relates most directly to the source of
authority in medical education. Oddly, this point
seems rather noticeably absent from the Lancet
report. Although where I currently practice in
Canada, application of these same principles
(meta-cognition) could stand to be improved,
the benefits to be gained would be relatively less. The
activity I am proposing, wherever and no matter
how imperfectly implemented, must inevitably
effect some measure of salutary culture change.

Scenario – Afghan healthcare

Since the Taliban were ousted in 2001, Afghanistan’s healthcare system has seen dramatic
change. Western countries invested substantially
and analyzed extensively. Healthcare is supposed
to be publicly funded and free, although the reality
seems otherwise. The Basic Package of Health
Services (BPHS) program focused almost
exclusively on low hanging fruit. Subsequently,
this has shifted somewhat as a result of ongoing
analysis towards a more pragmatic balance that
incorporates some essential surgical services. With
virtually all of the funding to run the system
having come from outside sources such as USAID
and EU monies, it has been galling to see several
of the best functioning hospitals close because of
an approach to funding that blocked local
community input. At the same time, one of the
biggest hospitals in the capital received massive
injections of funding while notoriously failing to
correct egregious breaches of clinical and ethical
standards. As an example, the same pair of latex
gloves would often be used for several obstetrical
deliveries. Cleaning staff of decade’s long
employment whose dedication was illustrated by
their willingness to work often without pay
through the worst of the war years were fired and
replaced by relatives of the new directorate when
the salary scale saw dramatic rises (Personal
communication). If they had shown some interest
or commitment to working, then justification
might be claimed. Although the reader might
question why I chose such an extreme example of
the damaging impact of aid money, it highlights
the lack of feedback both from within the hospital
structure and from the local community.

While not denying that large gains were
made through the BPHS, my purpose is to ask
whether a small percentage of the aid money could
be spent to leverage greater accountability. In
North America, we are increasingly being en-
couraged to pursue practice based reflective
learning to maintain competency. High-power
distance cultures that also place great importance
on honor/shame show resistance to exposure of
weakness or ignorance, and there is more
discomfort with ambiguity or change. From my
years in Afghanistan, Yemen, and Angola, I am
convinced that this cultural issue is crucial to
medical education. Could not a small part of the
investment in health care be spent to promote
culture change among health care professionals?

The capacity-building approach of focusing
on competencies and resources generally works
system wide from the top down, e.g., the BPHS in
Afghanistan. William Easterly argues in his book,
The White Man’s Burden, for more of a “local
problem, local solution” approach in which the
stakeholders function more directly as local stake-
holders. The proposal that follows is a hybrid of
the two, with generic process but local content. Its
capacity building focuses on the local professional
community rather than the individual practitioner.
The goal is not so much to train better doctors, per
se, as it is to develop clinical departments that
function as learning communities.
The cities of Qandahar and Herat each have an example of a hospital dramatically improved through the involvement of a local businessman. While such altruistic involvement from the community is highly desirable and fits Easterly’s approach, it does not constitute low hanging fruit. Instead, the crucial question in relation to feasibility and fruitfulness lies in the contribution that doctors make to health care. In Afghanistan, they are the dominant players, whether or not the main influencers of health care delivery. What follows is a proposal that would take a tiny proportion of the money spent on health care and use it to promote meta-cognition at the level of hospital clinical departments.

A Proposal

Clinical departments at all public hospitals in Afghanistan should hold a half-hour, weekly discussion focused on a recent case. Each department would be required to choose a case for discussion on the basis of at least one of these three features: (1) uncertainty, (2) controversy, or (3) bad outcome. The whole department should be involved, and the discussion should engage with the following three questions; (1) What was the case narrative? (2) Were there things that we could have done differently? (3) How do others, elsewhere, manage this kind of problem?

PowerPoint, for all its faults as a medium of expression, can nevertheless help to essentialize and record the key elements and provide a means for coaching feedback and program assessment. In contexts where such discussions pose challenges to traditions and hierarchies, subtle interventions can be helpfully subversive. Provide computer hardware and training in the use of internet access to literature through HINARI as incentive. Make hospital funding and accreditation conditional on fulfilling this simple program. Huge gains might not be seen overnight, but the culture of authority would begin to change. An early specific benefit could be a concern for quality improvement.

High-power distance cultures inevitably throw up more resistance to group self-appraisal, but the benefits to the practice of medicine should be proportional to the resistance encountered. This approach has the added advantage that it is not predicated on a direct challenge to any specific person or dogma. Change that comes from below, and within, is much more likely “to stick” than change brought from the outside or imposed from above. Case conference learning structured around reflexive learning will not instantly fix the problems of a professional culture that has been hostile to free and open questioning, but it will begin to address the root causes in a way that curricular reform and pedagogical technique cannot.

Conferences can transform the medical culture of authority. Purposeful repetition of an action that embodies a particular character value develops both the skill and the corresponding mind-set. Regular intentional questioning by discussing one’s own uncertainties and failings as a community must surely promote communal learning and also the virtues of vulnerability, honesty, and caring. Without these virtues, the profession cannot be at its best. C.S. Lewis’ well-known treatment of the virtues illustrates this phenomenon, “When you are behaving as if you loved someone, you will presently come to love him.” Instead of the feelings producing the action, it is the action that generally produces the feelings associated with the action, and even the capacity to experience those feelings and motives. The more we practice admitting our weaknesses, the easier it gets to do so and the easier it is to learn from the process of looking at our weaknesses.
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